

## Ralph B Holland

---

**From:** Holland, Ralph MR xxxxxxxxxx  
**Sent:** Friday, 9 November 2012 15:35  
**To:** 'JIM Hutson'  
**Cc:** 'Ralph B Holland'  
**Subject:** I rang DOIT to see if there was any intent to answer my 10 questions [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### UNCLASSIFIED

Hi Jim,

On 20121109 11:20 I rang DOIT this morning and asked if they were going to respond to my 10 questions.

The response from Dillip was that he contacted Scott and he did not believe there was anything further to say on the matter.

I very quickly asked was email an official correspondence or do I need to write a letter? The answer was email is an official correspondence.

As it got a little more animated I also asked do my taxes contribute to your department?

I said this is a safety issue and of concern to me, the department has not addressed the real issues in any of my correspondences.

Dillip asked was this an Aviation Safety matter - to which I replied yes. Then he said we do not do Aviation Safety and that I should contact CASA as they are the authority. He also mentioned all the input was from CASA and that DOIT is a drafting office.

To which I replied there is no legislation, the Wind Turbine project was closed and wasn't it your department that wrote the Airport Protection Guidelines D, and did not your department officially respond to me saying that Turbines do not represent a hazard? To which Dillip replied yes.

I said I am waiting for responses to my 10 questions please pass it on to Scott and I signed off just a little lost for words and wondering what to do next.

As you know these questions were specific technical questions regarding safety determinations to which I believe need answers to determine if anything was actually done about the dangers or whether the poor responses to date are just bureaucratic banter.

Dillip actually had me on the speaker phone and did not disclose the other party in the room, I believe he said they are new to this - which I assume was the other party - but perhaps they are new to Aviation and Wind Turbines obstructions collectively.

So now I believe I need to place an FOI request on DOIT for material passed from CASA to DOIT in order to see what technical advice was provided in order to arrive at the statements in Guidelines D and the Scott Stone's point blank response that the turbines at Crookwell do not represent a hazard.

This conversation seems to imply that the DOIT Aviation section is just a drafting office and I reckon that they have a huge audacity to have written that response to me as though it were the gospel truth, and this needs to be taken further.

This paraphrasing will be my record of conversation, which will also be placed on the website.